Latitudinal and seasonal effects on short-term acclimation of floating kelp species from the South-East Pacific
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A B S T R A C T

Floating seaweeds are important dispersal vehicles for many organisms in cold-temperate waters between latitudes 30° and 60°. Molecular studies suggested that long-distance rafting of seaweeds is more prevalent at the polar edges of their distribution but knowledge about their physiological status at high latitudes is limited. Seasonal short-term field experiments were conducted along a latitudinal gradient (30°, 37° and 54°S) with floating kelps Macrocystis pyrifera and Durvillaea antarctica. Kelps were tethered at the sea surface for 14 d during austral winter and summer to examine their acclimation potential in floating conditions. Biomass changes, reproductive status and physiological traits (chlorophyll a fluorescence, pigments, phlorotannins and antioxidant capacity) were evaluated after 7 d and 14 d of floating. Biomass changes (positive or negative) depended on species and latitude: at low latitudes (Coquimbo) both species lost biomass during both seasons while at mid latitudes (Concepción) they grew during summer but not in winter. Species-specific responses were observed at high latitudes (Pta. Arenas) with floating M. pyrifera growing in summer and D. antarctica in winter. Both species were able to maintain reproductive status mainly in winter, but at mid latitudes M. pyrifera also showed high reproductive activity during summer. Physiological adjustment was detected for both species at day 7 and upheld until the end of the experiment (14 d). Latitudinal changes in photosynthetic variables (maximum quantum yield and pigments) were more evident in summer conditions, M. pyrifera showing decreases in Fv/Fm and pigment levels at low and high latitudes while in D. antarctica these variables increased with latitude. Phlorotannin contents varied with latitude but showed an opposing pattern in the two kelp species, with high concentrations at low latitudes for M. pyrifera and at high latitudes for D. antarctica. The floating kelps maintained high antioxidant capacity during the experiments. The short-term acclimation responses support the hypothesis of long-distance dispersal at high latitudes for both floating kelp species. The acclimation potential differed between the two species depending on the season, especially at mid and high latitudes. Summer conditions were favorable for floating M. pyrifera at high latitudes and for both species at mid latitudes in which a combination of increasing radiation and moderate temperature can stimulate growth. The results confirm that kelp rafting is favored at mid/high latitudes but suggest that in polar waters (<60°) low temperatures and light limitations might suppress growth of floating seaweeds.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Floating patches of various seaweed species occur throughout the world’s oceans, although they are most abundant in temperate and cold waters of both hemispheres (30°–60°) (Kingsford, 1992; Hinojosa et al., 2010, 2011; Thiel et al., 2011; Rothausler et al., 2012). Long-distance rafting of buoyant, brown seaweeds contributes to the dispersal of marine biota (Edgar, 1987; Thiel and Gutow, 2005; Fraser et al., 2011). Molecular studies confirm the importance of dispersal and re-colonization by floating seaweeds especially at high latitudes, where seaweed rafts must cross long distances of open ocean without intermediate stepping stones (e.g. Ascophyllum - Olsen et al., 2010; Durvillaea -
Seaweeds are abundant at higher latitudes (Fraser et al., 2009, 2011; Fucus - Coyer et al., 2011; Macrocystis - Macaya and Zuccarello, 2010a, 2010b). However, the morphological and physiological mechanisms that allow long-distance dispersal of floating seaweeds are not well understood.

Some seaweed species are able to continue floating, growing, and even reproducing for extended time periods after detachment (Macaya et al., 2005; Martinez et al., 2006; Hernández-Carmona et al., 2006; McKenzie and Bellgrove, 2008; Rothäusler et al., 2009, 2011a, 2011b; Tala et al., 2013). However, during their voyage biotic factors, including grazing (by snails, urchins, crustaceans), fouling (by bryozoans, stalked barnacles, epiphytic algae) (Thiel and Gutow, 2005; Rothäusler et al., 2009; Gutow et al., 2012), and abiotic factors such as high water temperatures, intense solar radiation and nutrient depletion negatively affect the floating seaweeds, limiting their persistence at the sea surface (Hobday, 2000; Karsten et al., 2001; Vandendriessche et al., 2007; Rothäusler et al., 2011b; Graiff et al., 2013). Even though initial physiological acclimation can occur, the decline in growth and reproductive capacity are the main changes suppressing the colonization potential of floating kelps (Hobday, 2000; Macaya et al., 2005; Hernández-Carmona et al., 2006; Vandendriessche et al., 2007; Rothäusler et al., 2009, 2011b).

Depending on their geographic origin (latitude) or the time (season) when they are detached, floating seaweeds are confronted with variable environmental conditions during rafting (Wooster and Sievers, 1970; Macaya et al., 2005; Komatsu et al., 2007; Rothäusler et al., 2009; Tapia et al., 2014). In summer, high temperature and solar radiation may affect the photosynthetic efficiency and pigment concentration and cause an increase in tissue degradation, thereby contributing to the sinking of floating kelps (Rothäusler et al., 2009, 2011c; Graiff et al., 2013). In contrast, during winter the conditions at the sea surface are more moderate and floating kelps can persist for longer time periods (Graiff et al., 2013; Tala et al., 2013). Solar radiation shows a general trend decreasing from the equator towards the poles with greater seasonal changes at higher latitudes than at low latitudes (Kain, 1989). Latitudinal gradients in temperature follow a similar general pattern as radiation (Silva et al., 2009).

Based on the above considerations it was hypothesized that floating kelps $M$. pyrifera and $D$. antarctica are performing better at high latitudes where environmental conditions favor long-term rafting, reproduction and physiological acclimation. In order to test this hypothesis, herein short-term field experiments were conducted to determine the morphological (biomass change), physiological (chlorophyll a fluorescents in PSII, pigments, phlorotannin contents, and antioxidant capacity) and reproductive status of floating kelps $M$. pyrifera and $D$. antarctica tethered at three sites along the Chilean coast ($30^\circ$S–$54^\circ$S, spanning a distance of more than 2500 km) during contrasting seasons (winter vs. summer).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental zones and sites.

The latitudinal and seasonal effects of floating conditions on adult individuals of $M$. pyrifera and $D$. antarctica were examined in field experiments. The in situ experiments were conducted during austral winter 2013 (July–September) and summer 2013/2014 (December–February) for each species separately. Experiments were conducted at low (Coquimbo, Herradura Bay: $29^\circ 57^\prime$S, 71°20′W), mid (Concepción, Dichato: $36^\circ29^\prime$S, 72°54′W) and high latitudes (Punta Arenas, Puerto del Hambre: $53^\circ36^\prime$S, 70°55′W) (Fig. 1). These study sites allowed to examine the latitudinal gradient in environmental conditions to which floating rafts are exposed along the coast of the SE Pacific, including extremes of low and high latitudes. Benthic populations of both species occur along this range, with abundances that vary according to factors such as hard substratum availability and wave-exposure (Santelices et al., 1980; Collantes et al., 2002; Buschmann et al., 2004; Mansilla and Avila, 2007). Molecular studies in $D$. antarctica had shown that the populations from central Chile ($32^\circ$–$44^\circ$S) are genetically different from the populations of southern Chile ($49^\circ$–$56^\circ$S) (Fraser et al., 2009, 2010) whereas $M$. pyrifera showed a homogenous genetic structure along the Chilean coast (Macaya and Zuccarello, 2010b).

2.2. Environmental conditions during the study.

Throughout the experimental period, at each experimental site temperature ($^\circ$C) and light (Klux) in the upper water layers were monitored every 5 min using a HOBO® Pendant Temperature-Light Datalogger.
(Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA). Each logger was attached 0.5 m below the sea surface in a horizontal position on the experimental line. Using all records from one day, the average daily water temperature was calculated for each day of the experiment.

As day length (daily hours of light) varies with the latitude and season, the logger records between 09:00 and 17:00 were considered every day to calculate the mean daily light intensity per site. Considering latitudinal variations in the hours of light (photoperiod), the daily light length was obtained from the database in www.shoa.cl (Hydrographic and Oceanographic Service of the Chilean Navy). The photoperiod was calculated between the hours of sunrise and sunset for each experimental day.

Additionally, concentrations of seawater nutrients were determined during the field experiments. Specifically, concentrations (mg/L) of nitrites (NO$_2^-$), nitrates (NO$_3^-$), ammonium (NH$_4^+$), and phosphates (PO$_4^{3-}$) were measured from seawater samples (n = 5) collected around the experimental floating kelps. Samples were taken in 500 mL plastic flasks, fixed with approximately 3 drops of chloroform, and then frozen until analysis by spectrophotometric methods as described in Strickland and Parsons (1972).

2.3. Collection of benthic kelps for floating experiments.

At each experimental site, benthic populations were selected to provide the individuals for the experiments; the same source populations were used in both seasons. Entire individuals (n = 24 for season) of giant kelp *M. pyrifera* and bull kelps *D. antarctica* were detached with their holdfasts at low tide from the benthic populations near the three experimental sites: Coquimbo (D. antarctica: Puerto Osorno 31°25'S, 71°36'W; M. pyrifera: Punta de Talca 30°50'S, 71°41'W), Concepción (D. antarctica: Buca 36°28'S, 72°54'W; M. pyrifera: Desembocadura Río Bio-Bio 36°48'S, 73°10'W), and Punta Arenas (D. antarctica: San Isidro Lighthouse 53°47'S, 70°58'W; M. pyrifera: Fuerte Bulnes 53°37'S, 70°55'W) (Fig. 1). After collection, kelps were kept in a cooler at ambient temperature and immediately transferred to running seawater tanks in the laboratories where they were prepared for the experiments during the following day.

Considering that both species grow in the low intertidal zone of exposed habitats, kelp collections depended on weather conditions and accessibility at each source population, sometimes limiting the possibility of selecting kelps of similar sizes for experiments at the different sites. Although sizes of the experimental kelps varied seasonally or latitudinally (Table S1), all collected individuals were adult with fully developed gametes with sex differentiation and open ostioles were classified as mature individual. In both species, the results were expressed by the presence of sporophylls with visible sori (Buschmann et al., 2002): individuals that had a majority of conceptacles with fully developed sporophylls were classified as mature individuals (see e.g. Talas et al., 2013), while in *M. pyrifera* three vegetative blades from the upper part of the sporophyte were used (see e.g. Rothäusler et al., 2011b, 2011c). Although differences in morphology and tissue structure might affect physiological comparisons between different kelp species, previous studies had shown that small pieces of photosynthetically active tissues are suitable to determine physiological responses to environmental change, thus allowing for interspecific comparisons despite morpho-functional differences (e.g. Bischof et al., 1998; Connan et al., 2004; Rothäusler et al., 2011c; Gómez and Huovinen, 2011; Cruscet al., 2012).

Blade samples for in vivo chlorophyll a fluorescence of photosystem II (PSII) were processed immediately in the laboratory. Samples for pigments, soluble chlorophylls and antioxidant capacity were frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80 °C and then sent on dry ice to the central analysis laboratory at Universidad Católica del Norte in Coquimbo. All analyses were done in similar ways as in previous studies with floating *M. pyrifera* and *D. antarctica* (Rothäusler et al., 2011a, 2011c) and *D. antarctica* (Graiff et al., 2013; Talas et al., 2013) and are briefly described below.

2.5. Sampling and response variables of benthic and floating kelps.

Morphological, reproductive and physiological responses of initial and floating kelps were measured. At days 7 and 14, the 8 floating, experimental kelps were removed from the long-line systems and transported in a cooler to the respective nearby laboratory for further processing. For the physiological variables, tissue samples were taken with a cork borer from the blades of the main stipe of each replicate individual; all samples were gently cleaned from epibionts with soft paper towels and seawater. Taking into account the differences in morphology and growth patterns between the two kelp species, tissue samples were obtained from photosynthetic active portions in order to evaluate the physiological changes during floating conditions. As previously mentioned (see point 2.3), adults with fully developed floating and reproductive tissues were chosen for the experiments in order to standardize kelp status between species. The tissue samples for *D. antarctica* were obtained from the middle of the largest blade (see e.g. Talas et al., 2013), while in *M. pyrifera* three vegetative blades from the upper part of the sporophyte were used (see e.g. Rothäusler et al., 2011b, 2011c). Although differences in morphology and tissue structure might affect physiological comparisons between different kelp species, previous studies had shown that small pieces of photosynthetically active tissues are suitable to determine physiological responses to environmental change, thus allowing for interspecific comparisons despite morpho-functional differences (e.g. Bischof et al., 1998; Connan et al., 2004; Rothäusler et al., 2011c; Gómez and Huovinen, 2011; Cruscet al., 2012).

Blade samples for in vivo chlorophyll a fluorescence of photosystem II (PSII) were processed immediately in the laboratory. Samples for pigments, soluble chlorophylls and antioxidant capacity were frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80 °C and then sent on dry ice to the central analysis laboratory at Universidad Católica del Norte in Coquimbo. All analyses were done in similar ways as in previous studies with floating *M. pyrifera* (Rothäusler et al., 2011a, 2011c) and *D. antarctica* (Graiff et al., 2013; Talas et al., 2013) and are briefly described below.

2.5.1. Biomass change

Total wet weight of all experimental kelps was measured at day 0 and on the respective days of measurement (7 d and 14 d). Biomass change (BC) was calculated with the equation $\text{BC} = (\text{FW} - \text{IW}) / \text{T}$, where FW and IW are the final and initial wet weight of the individuals at the respective sampling days, and T the time period between each sampling day. Changes in algal biomass were expressed as “percent biomass change per day”.

2.5.2. Reproductive stage

The percentage of reproductive individuals was calculated considering the presence of reproductive tissue in accordance with the life history of each species. In *M. pyrifera* reproductive sporophytes were identified by the presence of sporophylls with visible sori (Buschmann et al., 2004). For *D. antarctica* and *D. antarctica* thin sections of the blade tissue were made and the maturity stages of a total of 30 conceptacles were examined for each individual (following the description in Collantes et al., 2002): individuals that had a majority of conceptacles with fully developed gametangia were classified as mature individuals. In both species, the results were expressed as percentage of reproductive/mature individuals with respect to the total number of individuals at each sampling date.
2.5.3. Chlorophyll a fluorescence

Maximal quantum yield of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) was determined via in vivo chlorophyll a fluorescence with a portable pulse modulation fluorometer. To determine the maximal quantum yield of fluorescence (Fv/Fm), three blade sections from each individual were incubated for 20 min in the dark and measured six times (each section was measured twice). Mean values from the three blade sections value represented the average response for each individual. Due to logistic limitations and simultaneous experiments, different PAM models were used at each site (Coquimbo: PAM 2500; Concepción: MINI-PAM; Punta Arenas: DIVING–PAM; all from Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Therefore, the Fv/Fm was expressed as relative to the mean of the initial value (day 0) for each experimental situation. Considering the different technical characteristics of the equipments used (Figueroa et al., 2013), this transformation allowed better comparability between experimental localities and seasons.

2.5.4. Pigments

Three blade disks (n = 3) per individual were used for pigment determination and the mean value represented the average response for each individual. The determination of pigments was based on an extraction with N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) for 24 h at 4 °C in darkness. The extinction of the extract was measured in a scanning UV–visible spectrophotometer (Rayleigh, model UV-1601, China). The chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentration (mg/g wet weight) was calculated using the dichromatic equations described by Inskeep and Bloom (1985). Chlorophyll c and total carotenoids pigments were also determined in the same extract (data not shown), but considering that these showed a similar pattern of variation as those of Chl a they were not included in the statistical analyses.

2.5.5. Phlorotannins

The total soluble phlorotannin concentration was determined with the Folin–Ciocalteu assay (Koivikko, 2008). Lyophilized samples (~10 mg) were homogenized with 3 mL of 70% acetone and stirred for 24 h at 4 °C. Subsequently, separation of the supernatant was performed by centrifugation (BOECO, U-320R, Germany) at 4,500 rpm for 15 min. Then, 3 mL of 70% acetone were added to the sample, and again allowed to stir for 24 h at 4 °C, completing three successive extractions. From each supernatant 250 μL was mixed with 1,250 μL of distilled water, 500 μL of 1 N Folin–Ciocalteu and 1000 μL of 20% Na2CO3. The sample was incubated in the dark for 45 min and centrifuged for 3 min at 4,500 rpm. The absorbance was obtained at 730 nm and purified phloroglucinol (Merck) was used as standard. The concentrations of soluble phlorotannins were obtained from the sum of the three extractions and expressed in mg phlorotannins/g dry weight.

2.5.6. Antioxidant capacity

The antioxidant capacity was tested by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging capacity (Brand-Williams et al., 1995). The frozen samples were ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen (~240 mg wet weight) and extracted with 1 mL 70% ethanol at 50 °C for 60 min (homogenized every 15 min). Then, 700 μL DPPH (50 μg L−1 70% methanol) were mixed with 300 μL of seaweed extract, and the decay of absorbance was measured at 0 and 30 min at 523 nm. Consumption of DPPH was calculated as a percentage of antioxidant capacity from [1 − (A0 − As)/A0] × 100, where As represents the absorbance of seaweed extract in solution after reaction with DPPH (at final time), and A0 is the initial absorbance at time 0 (Molyneux, 2004).

2.6. Statistical analyses.

The initial length and wet weight of both kelp species used in the experiments were analyzed with two-way ANOVA as to compare the size of floating seaweeds between Site (Coquimbo, Concepción, Pta. Arenas) and Season (winter and summer). Length and weight data were log-transformed before being analyzed to comply with requirements of normality and homoscedasticity. A post hoc Tukey’s honest significant difference test was applied when significant effects were found.

A three-way ANOVA was used to evaluate the effects of Site (Coquimbo, Concepción, Pta. Arenas), Season (winter and summer), and Floating time (days 7 and 14) on biomass change. The factors were considered as fixed and a post-hoc Tukey HSD was applied when ANOVAs showed significant differences. For the analyses, the constant 10 was added to each value of percent biomass change to make them positive and non-negative to allow the arcsine transformation (McDonald, 2014). Homogeneity of variance was tested using Levene’s test. As data transformation did not remove heteroscedasticity, a more conservative α = 0.01 was considered (Underwood, 1997).

A Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) was applied to determine how site (latitude), season and floating time affected reproductive stage and physiological responses of floating kelps in comparison to the initial status. Site (Coquimbo, Concepción, Pta. Arenas), Season (winter and summer), and Floating time (days 0, 7 and 14) were considered as fixed factors while the outcome were the reproductive and physiological responses of the floating seaweeds. The multinormality of the response variables was significant (Henze–Zirkler test, P = 0.04), indicating some deviation from the MANOVA assumption of multivariate normality. Using the Mahalanobis distance, no outliers were observed. Also, the probability associated with the multivariate test was lower than 0.01, as recommended by Underwood (1997) when assumptions were not fulfilled. In general, the multivariate tests are sufficiently robust to sustain some violation of the assumptions (Quinn and Keough, 2002). In this sense, minor deviations from the assumption for MANOVA should not affect the outcome of the analyses. All analyses were conducted with the free software R (R Development Core Team 2013).

3. Results

3.1. Environmental conditions during the study

Clear latitudinal and seasonal differences in local seawater temperatures were observed during the experiments, with lowest mean values in winter and at high latitudes and then increasing in summer and towards lower latitudes (Table 1A). Light levels were highly variable (Table 1B), sometimes in unexpected directions, which might be caused by continuous movements of sensors in the experimental systems due to wave action. Day length was similar between the three sites in winter, whereas the values increased with latitude during summer (Table 1C).

During the experiments, the essential nutrients (N and P) were present in the experimental area but concentrations were variable and depended on site, season and nutrient types (Table 1D). The most notorious pattern was a higher concentration of nitrate during winter for the three experimental sites with a tendency of higher values for Conception (Table 1D). In general, lower nutrient concentrations were observed in Punta Arenas for both seasons (Table 1D).

3.2. Performance characteristics

Species-specific responses were observed in biomass change with significant effects of site, season and floating time for M. pyrifera and only for site and the interaction site × season in D. antarctica (Table 2).

The statistical results showed that reproductive stage and physiological responses of floating kelps during the experimental time (7–14 days) were influenced by different factors (Tables S4–S5). In M. pyrifera, significant effects on the response variables were detected for all single factors and the interactions between site (latitude) and season (MANOVA, P < 0.001; Table S4), while in D. antarctica significant effects were caused by the single factors site in all variables, and in the other
3.2.1. Biomass change

A loss of biomass was observed in floating sporophytes of *M. pyrifera* during winter at all three experimental sites. During summer, continuous biomass loss was also observed in Coquimbo and during the first seven days in Concepción, but giant kelps grew during the second half of the experiment (7–14 days), and throughout the entire experiment in Punta Arenas (Fig. 2). Biomass change was significantly affected by site, season and floating time and the interaction site × season (Table 2).

When kelps lost biomass, these losses were usually lower during the second half of the experiment (Fig. 2). In general, both species experienced highest losses of biomass in Coquimbo (northern site) during winter, bull kelps only grew in Concepción, but lost biomass at the second half of the experiment (Fig. 2). In general, both species experienced highest losses of biomass in Coquimbo (northern site) during winter. A clear latitudinal tendency was observed: bull kelps continuously grew in Punta Arenas, but lost biomass in Coquimbo and Concepción in winter. During summer, both species experienced higher losses of biomass than in winter, bull kelps only grew in Concepción, but lost biomass at the second half of the experiment (Fig. 2). Significant effects were caused by site and the interaction site × season (Table 2).

When kelps lost biomass, these losses were usually lower during the second half of the experiment (Fig. 2). In general, both species experienced high Fv/Fm levels during the experiment (Fig. 4).

3.2.2. Reproductive status

High percentages of mature individuals of *M. pyrifera* were found during winter in Coquimbo and in both seasons in Concepción. At these localities, floating kelps maintained their reproductive activity (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, no reproductive *M. pyrifera* were observed in Punta Arenas at the beginning of the experiment, but after floating for 14 d some experimental individuals developed reproductive tissues, mainly in winter. A similar situation occurred in Coquimbo during winter (Fig. 3). The reproductive status was significantly affected by site, season and the interaction site × season (Table 3).

A strong seasonal reproductive pattern was detected for *D. antarctica* with highest reproductive activity during winter for initial and experimental kelps (Fig. 3). In general, the kelps remained reproductive throughout the entire experiment. In winter, the proportion of reproductive individuals increased during the experiments in Coquimbo and Punta Arenas, whereas a decrease was detected in Concepción (Fig. 3). During summer, reproductive activity was very low (none in Coquimbo), and floating, mature kelps were only observed in Concepción (Fig. 3). The statistical analysis showed significant effects on the reproductive activity of *D. antarctica* for site, season and the interaction season × floating time (Table 4).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coquimbo</th>
<th></th>
<th>Concepción</th>
<th></th>
<th>Punta Arenas</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>(°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>19.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min-Max</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>11–14</td>
<td>12–19</td>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>8–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light (Klux)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 8.9</td>
<td>16.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>34.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>62.3 ± 22.4</td>
<td>29.5 ± 8.6</td>
<td>31.8 ± 21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoperiod</td>
<td>10:53</td>
<td>13:19</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>14:38</td>
<td>10:40</td>
<td>16:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients (mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8.47 ± 1.27</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.64</td>
<td>11.13 ± 1.86</td>
<td>5.69 ± 1.06</td>
<td>9.03 ± 0.86</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Three way ANOVA for biomass change in experimental floating individuals of *Macrocystis pyrifera* and *Durvillaea antarctica*. Bold p-values are significant (<0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M. pyrifera</th>
<th>D. antarctica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>F value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating day C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B × C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B × C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. Percent biomass change (d⁻¹) of experimental Macrocystis pyrifera and Durvillaea antarctica individuals (mean ± SD, n = 8) at each study site for winter 2013 and summer 2013/2014 experiments. The grey bars show the percent biomass change of floating kelps at day 0–7 and dark grey bars at the day 7–14.](image-url)
was observed during summer, with a notorious decrease of Fv/Fm values at the low latitude site (Coquimbo) followed by Concepción, and minor variation at high latitudes (Pta. Arenas) (Fig. 4).

3.2.4. Chlorophyll a

In both species the variation in the chlorophyll concentration during floating conditions depended on site and season (Fig. 5). The initial concentration of Chl a in M. pyrifera showed lowest values at the high latitude site (Pta. Arenas) during winter. There was a significant effect of site, season and some of the interactions in this species (Table 3). In summer, higher concentrations of chlorophyll a were detected at the mid latitude site (Concepción) for both benthic and floating kelps (Fig. 5). During this season, a decrease in concentrations was detected with the floating time mainly at the low latitude site (Coquimbo). Although floating M. pyrifera showed minor adjustments in Chl a concentrations during the experiment, important changes were observed in Pta. Arenas, with an increase during winter and a decrease during summer (Fig. 5).

Table 3
Summary of MANOVA for benthic and experimental floating Durvillaea antarctica. Factors were Site (Coquimbo, Concepción and Punta Arenas), Season (winter and summer) and Floating time (7 and 14 days). Results of the univariate test are given for the response variables in which significant effects of the factors were identified. See text for details. (Full MANOVA is given in the online supplements, Table S5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Response variables</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site A</td>
<td>Reproductive status</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antioxidant capacity</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season B</td>
<td>Reproductive status</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antioxidant capacity</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating time C</td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B</td>
<td>Reproductive status</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × C</td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B × C</td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B × C</td>
<td>Maximal quantum yield (Fv/Fm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phlorotannins</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minor variations in chlorophyll \( a \) were observed for \( D. \) antarctica in winter, with a tendency of high values at mid and high latitudes (Fig. 5). The values decreased during floating time especially in summer and at low-mid latitudes (Fig. 5). Minor changes were observed in Pta. Arenas during the experiment in comparison with the initial values (Fig. 5). The factors site, season, floating time and some interactions had a significant effect on the pigment levels of \( D. \) antarctica (Table 4).

### 3.2.5. Soluble phlorotannins

Phlorotannin concentrations of \( M. \) pyrifera were significantly affected by all factors and their interactions (Table 3). Minor changes in winter and an increase during summer was observed for phlorotannin levels at the low latitude site (Coquimbo). Concentrations were consistently low at the mid latitude site in summer (Fig. 6).

In \( D. \) antarctica the phlorotannin concentrations varied significantly during the experiment at the high latitude site (Pta. Arenas), with only minor variations at the other two sites (Fig. 6). Statistical results showed significant effects on the phlorotannin concentrations caused by site and all the interactions (Table 4). In winter, phlorotannin concentrations decreased during the experiment in Coquimbo and Concepción, with a slight increase at day 14. In summer, initially low concentrations at these two sites increased during the experiment (Fig. 6). In the winter experiment the floating kelps from Pta. Arenas had high phlorotannin concentrations at day 7 but then decreased again, while an opposing pattern was observed during summer (Fig. 6).

### 3.2.6. Antioxidant capacity

In \( M. \) pyrifera antioxidant capacity was significantly affected by site and season (Table 3). Lower antioxidant capacity was detected in winter than in summer for all localities (Fig. 7). In winter antioxidant capacity of the floating kelps at all three sites was within the initial ranges, with high values in Concepción (Fig. 7). In summer antioxidant capacity showed an increase with floating time, which was more notorious in Concepción and Pta. Arenas (Fig. 7).

Antioxidant capacity of \( D. \) antarctica was significantly influenced only by site and the interaction site \( \times \) season (Table 4), with a decrease during the winter from the northermmost location (Coquimbo) to the south (Punta Arenas) in both initial and floating conditions (Fig. 7). A different pattern of antioxidant capacity was observed in summer for each site (Fig. 7). In Coquimbo, antioxidant capacity of floating bull kelps decreased during the summer experiment. In Concepción initial values were low but increased during the experiment. Antioxidant capacity in Pta. Arenas was comparatively high and showed only minor variations during the summer experiment (Fig. 7).

### 3.2.7. Overall results

The performance of the floating \( M. \) pyrifera and \( D. \) antarctica were significantly affected by the factor site representing latitudinal changes.
in all variables studied (Fig. 8). The most notorious effect was the biomass loss observed in both species at low latitudes (Coquimbo), regardless of the season. Species-specific responses according to the season were detected at mid and high latitudes (Concepción and Pta. Arenas) in biomass change, reproduction status and physiological traits. Summer conditions at mid and high latitudes did not negatively affect *M. pyrifera*, with higher values of biomass changes, chlorophyll *a* and antioxidant capacity. In *D. antarctica*, lower reproductive status and physiological characteristics were detected in summer. The significant interaction between site and seasons for almost all variables mirror the experimental time (Tables 2–4).

4. Discussion

The results indicate that *M. pyrifera* and *D. antarctica* have the capacity to acclimate to the floating conditions within a few days, with differences depending on site (latitude) and season. Physiological adjustment at the sea surface was clearly detected at day 7 and it remained until the end of the experimental time (14 days) mainly at mid (Concepción) and high (Punta Arenas) latitudes. The biomass losses at the low latitude site (Coquimbo) in both species and seasons indicate that despite rapid physiological acclimation this response is insufficient to support growth and long-term persistence of floating kelps. At mid and high latitudes, the two species showed different responses depending on the season. Summer conditions can be favorable to floating kelps especially to *M. pyrifera* at high latitudes and for both species at mid latitudes. Characteristics associated with local environmental conditions (site × season) and the biology of these brown seaweeds are likely responsible for these patterns.

4.1. Seasonal effects on floating kelps

Light intensity is the principal factor that promotes seasonal acclimation with changes in growth, photosynthetic efficiency, pigments and other metabolites (Powles, 1984; Falkowski and LaRoche, 1991; Huovinen et al., 2006; Hurd et al., 2014). Temperature influences metabolic and growth rates, following similar seasonal patterns as light, but local conditions (coastal currents, upwelling zones, cloud cover, and coastal topography) can obscure large-scale patterns (Ramos-Rodríguez et al., 2012; Bearham et al., 2013; Tapia et al., 2014). Deleterious combinations of high light and temperature at the sea surface affect the tissue integrity causing biomass losses at low latitudes (Coquimbo), regardless of the season. Similar results have been described for *in situ* (Graiff et al., 2013) and mesocosm experiments (Rothäusler et al., 2009, 2011c) with *D. antarctica* and *M. pyrifera*, respectively.

Biomass gains during summer in both kelp species at mid latitudes (Concepción) and for *M. pyrifera* at high latitudes (Pta. Arenas) indicate favorable conditions for the persistence of floating kelps. Highest growth rates in the kelp *Ecklonia radiata* have been reported under high light intensities and low temperatures, which contrasted with lowest growth at high temperatures (Bearham et al., 2013). During summer at mid latitudes the combination of high radiation and moderate temperatures could be favoring growth of both floating kelps. At high latitudes the growth capacity (accompanied by high chlorophyll content and antioxidant activity) of *M. pyrifera* is favored by high light levels in summer, but these high growth rates apparently cannot be maintained in low light conditions (limited energy) combined with low temperatures (slow metabolic rates) during winter, similar as reported for benthic giant kelps from 45°S in New Zealand (Hepburn and Hurd, 2005). On the other hand, *D. antarctica* showed a reverse pattern with positive growth in winter despite the low light and temperature conditions at high latitudes. Growing under lower light conditions in winter might be supported by previously accumulated energy reserves, especially in long-lived (perennial) species (Chapman and Craigie, 1978; Lehvo et al., 2001; Wienczek et al., 2007, 2009). The bull kelp *D. antarctica* appears capable to maintain growth by enhancing the rate of photosynthesis. The photobiological characteristics (low saturation irradiance, high efficiency photosynthesis, dynamic photo-inhibition) described for *D. antarctica* are considered adaptations for efficient light use (Gómez and Huovinen, 2011; Crucet et al., 2013), which might be associated to the subantarctic origin of this species.

Seasonal changes in growth are the result of metabolic adjustments and energy distribution in response to environmental conditions, which can also affect the reproductive potential. The ability to maintain reproductive activity during floating conditions is an important trait that can determine the colonization potential after extensive rafting journeys, which is especially important considering the short dispersal distances of kelp spores/gametes (Reed et al., 1988; Collins et al., 2010). The reproductive phenology of *M. pyrifera* has been described as both seasonal (winter/spring or summer/autumn) and non-seasonal (all year around), depending on local conditions (temperature, nutrients and wave exposure) (Reed et al., 1996; Buschmann et al., 2004, 2006). At mid latitudes (Concepción), *M. pyrifera* showed high reproductive activity in both seasons indicating their perennial strategies and high potential for rafting dispersal under moderate environmental conditions. In contrast, *Durvillaea antarctica* showed a strong seasonal (fall-winter) reproductive pattern in benthic (Santelices et al., 1980; Collantes et al., 2002) as well as in floating conditions (Tala et al., 2013; Lizée-Pyrné et al., 2016) along the SE Pacific. The consistently seasonal reproductive phenology of *D. antarctica* (Santelices et al., 1980; Collantes et al., 2002; Tala et al., 2013), confirmed herein for all three study sites, suggests that successful gamete dispersal is restricted to the cold season throughout its distributional range.

4.2. Latitudinal effect on species-specific responses

The performance of floating kelps showed latitudinal variations in all studied traits, confirming the strong effect of latitudinal environmental gradients on their acclimation and persistence capacities in floating conditions. The giant kelp *M. pyrifera* has an antitropical distribution in cold-temperate zones (Macaya and Zuccarello, 2010a), and the floating
performance varied latitudinally. Negative effects of high radiation, temperature and grazing, as previously described for floating *M. pyrifera* (Rothhäsentler et al., 2009, 2011a, 2011c), result in deleterious conditions for floating kelps at low latitudes, especially during summer. At mid (southern-central Chile) and high latitudes (Patagonian fjords) high biomasses of floating *M. pyrifera* have been detected in spring-summer (Hinojosa et al., 2011; Wichmann et al., 2012). This may be partly due to the high growth rates of kelp forests during these seasons (Buschmann et al., 2004, 2006), but it may also be due to biomass gains and longer survival of floating kelps, which appear to thrive during the summer at high latitudes.

The floating bull kelp *D. antarctica* is a cold-tolerant species, abundant at mid and high latitudes in the South Pacific and subantarctic regions (Santelices et al., 1980; Collantes et al., 2002; Fraser et al., 2009). Limited floating capacity of *D. antarctica* has been previously described from low latitudes (Grail et al., 2013; Tala et al., 2013) where negative impacts of high temperature and solar radiation on photosynthesis and activation of photoprotective mechanisms (Cruc et al., 2012, 2013) apparently suppress survival and floating capacity of this kelp. At mid/high latitudes, *D. antarctica* appears well adapted to maintain active growth and efficient photoprotection throughout the year. Higher values of phlorotannin and antioxidant capacity of *D. antarctica* in Pta. Arenas compared to other populations (Cruc et al., 2012; Tala et al., 2013) or other brown seaweeds (Van Alstyne et al., 1999; Connan et al., 2004) may be a response to the longer photoperiod (high dose radiation) during summer at high latitudes. The positive relationship between phlorotannin levels and radiation dose has been described for brown seaweeds (Swanson and Druhel, 2002; Arnold, 2003; Abdala-Díaz et al., 2006; Gómez and Huovinen, 2010; Cruc et al., 2013; Gómez and Huovinen, 2015) as key response to environmental stressors. Thus, while *D. antarctica* showed lower growth rates than *M. pyrifera*, physiological acclimatization to seasonal variations in temperature and solar radiation is sufficient to diminish losses or even show minor growth and in this way achieve effective floating persistence at mid/high latitudes.

4.3. Long-distance dispersal potential of floating kelps

Successful dispersal and colonization of new habitats by floating seaweeds will depend mainly on their capacity to sustain reproduction and physiological acclimation during long voyages. Both floating kelps were able to maintain biomass and reproductive activity at the sea surface for more than 14 days, especially at mid and high latitudes. However, species-specific and seasonally varying responses across the latitudinal gradient produced a non-generalized pattern (Fig. 9). During summer, *Macroystis pyrifera* showed a high potential for dispersal at mid/high latitudes. This pattern is in accordance with its wide geographic distribution and higher biomass production in benthic populations occurring in spring/summer (Hepburn and Hurd, 2005; Graham et al., 2007), but winter conditions appear to limit the potential for rafting dispersal at high latitudes (Fig. 9). In contrast, at low latitudes (<30°S), where benthic population of *M. pyrifera* persist throughout the year (Buschmann et al., 2004; Graham et al., 2007), high radiation levels in combination with high water temperatures severely suppress rafting dispersal of this species in summer (Macaya et al., 2005; Rothhäsentler et al., 2009). Thus, for *M. pyrifera* the window for successful rafting dispersal appears to shift seasonally across its distributional range (Fig. 9). In contrast, in *D. antarctica*, the potential for rafting dispersal appears to be less dependent on seasonal variations in environmental conditions. At mid/high latitudes there is a high potential for rafting dispersal year-round, but conditions prevailing at low latitudes suppress floating survival of *D. antarctica*, regardless of season (Fig. 9); this coincides with the northern limit of its geographic distribution in the SE Pacific (Fraser et al., 2009). Thus, while the results support the hypothesis of high rafting potential at high latitudes, there is also some indication that the conditions in polar waters might temporarily (winter) be unfavorable for some floating seaweeds (e.g. *M. pyrifera*).
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